



ASN PREDOCTORAL FELLOWSHIP REVIEW COMPONENTS

The review committee will evaluate significance, feasibility, communication and clarity, as well as overall scientific technical quality of your proposal.

Component:	Guiding Question(s):
Significance	<input type="checkbox"/> Features an important or current issue or area of nutrition science and <input type="checkbox"/> Adds new knowledge that impacts this area of research?
Feasibility	<input type="checkbox"/> Can the applicant accomplish this research? <input type="checkbox"/> Are the resources and environment described sufficient for this proposal? <input type="checkbox"/> Is the study proposal clearly defined? <input type="checkbox"/> Is it feasible for the environment and team presented to accomplish work outlined in the proposal?
Communication and Clarity	<input type="checkbox"/> Is the proposal communicated effectively? <input type="checkbox"/> Does the author illustrate a thorough understanding of the research proposal? <input type="checkbox"/> Does the proposal feature correctly cited scientific literature and/or effective visual aids, if applicable? <input type="checkbox"/> Has the author successfully made their case?
Overall Scientific and Technical Quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Is the hypothesis well-described? <input type="checkbox"/> Are the objectives presented by the author achievable? <input type="checkbox"/> Are power calculations and reasonable study design utilized? <input type="checkbox"/> Is the research creative? Does the proposal have clearly defined variables?